

*Not published*  
*NON-PRECEDENTIAL*

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS**

No. 15-2775

MARCEL VERDOONER,

APPELLANT,

v.

PETER O'ROURKE,

ACTING SECRETARY OF VETERANS AFFAIRS,

APPELLEE.

Before PIETSCH, GREENBERG, and ALLEN, *Judges*.

**ORDER**

*Note: Pursuant to U.S. Vet. App. R. 30(a),  
this action may not be cited as precedent.*

On June 14, 2018, the Court ordered that the Secretary's motion to dismiss the appellant's motion to recall mandate was granted and ordered that the motion to recall mandate was dismissed. On June 20, 2018, the appellant filed a timely motion for reconsideration of the Court's order, and alternatively, a motion for full-Court review. "[A] motion for . . . panel [reconsideration] . . . shall state the points of law or fact that the party believes the Court has overlooked or misunderstood." U.S. VET. APP. R. 35(e)(1). The Court did not overlook or misunderstand any argument that was properly before it. The appellant has not presented any argument that warrants reconsideration by the panel.

Upon consideration of the foregoing, it is

ORDERED, that the motion for reconsideration by the panel is denied. It is further

ORDERED, that the motion for full-Court consideration is held in abeyance pending further order of the Court.

DATED: July 24, 2018

PER CURIAM.

Copies to:

Douglas J. Rosinski, Esq.

VA General Counsel (027)