Case: 16-2057 Page: 1 of 2 Filed: 09/18/2018

LAW OFFICES OF CARPENTER CHARTERED P.O. BOX 2099 1525 S. TOPEKA BOULEVARD TOPEKA, KANSAS 66601-2099

TELEPHONE: 785-357-5251 FACSIMILE: 785-357-4902

KENNETH M. CARPENTER Chief Executive Officer GLENDA S. HERL Chief Operating Officer

SARA N. HUERTER

September 18, 2018

Mr. Gregory O. Block Clerk of the Court U.S. Court of Appeals for Veterans Claims 625 Indiana Ave., N. W. - Suite 900 Washington, D.C. 20004-2950

RE: Ravin, Sean A. No. 16-2057

Citation to Supplemental Authority by the Appellant

Dear Clerk:

Pursuant to U.S. Vet.App. R. 30(b), this is to bring to the Court's attention supplemental authority that is relevant to the above-referenced case now pending before the Court. The supplemental authority is as follows:

38 C.F.R. § 14.636(h)(3).

This authority pertains to Mr. Ravin's argument, at page 11, of Mr. Ravin's Supplemental Memorandum of Law in Support of Overruling of This Court's Decision in *Carpenter v. Principi* that the same work is not performed under § 5904(d) and an award of fees under EAJA. However, VA has interpreted the phrase "past-due benefits" as used by Congress in 38 U.S.C. § 5904(d) to mean for the purposes of calculating the fee payable to an attorney includes an award which results from a determination made by "an appellate court" in 38 C.F.R. § 14.636(h)(3). As a result of this interpretation by VA only when the work performed before this Court results in a reversal of the Board's denial of benefits and an order that the veteran be paid the benefits denied and also awards fees under EAJA is the same work performed before the agency and this Court requiring an offset of the fees awarded.

Case: 16-2057 Page: 2 of 2 Filed: 09/18/2018

The more likely circumstance is that the work performed before this Court results in a remand to the Board based on an administrative error made by the Board requiring readjudication of the claim or claims. Although an award of fees under EAJA may be awarded and later award of fees under § 5904(d) will not be based on the "same work" performed before this Court.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

/s/Kenneth M. Carpenter
Kenneth M. Carpenter
Counsel for Appellant,
Sean A. Ravin
Electronically filed September 18, 2018