Case: 19-1771 Page: 1 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR VETERANS CLAIMS

JEFFREY L. RIGBY,)
Appellant,)
vs.) Vet. App. No. 19-1771
ROBERT L. WILKIE,)
Secretary of Veterans Affairs,)
Appellee.)

MOTION FOR AWARD OF REASONABLE ATTORNEYS FEESUNDER 28 U.S.C. § 2412 AND DECLARATION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION

Comes now, Appellant JEFFREY L. RIGBY, through counsel, and files this motion for an award of reasonable attorney's fees and expenses under the Equal Access to Justice Act (EAJA), 28 U.S.C. § 2412 (2012).

There has been a resolution in this action. On May 12, 2020, this Court issued a Memorandum Decision to remand the Board's November 15, 2018, decision that denied an evaluation higher than 50 percent for major depressive disorder. The Court found that the Board erred when it failed to assess the frequency, severity, and duration of his symptoms.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2412(d), appellant seeks reasonable attorney's fees in the amount of \$944.69 based on an expenditure of 4.8 hours of time billed at the rate of \$196.81 per hour for attorney time, the amount allowable by law including a statutory increase for the cost of living.

Case: 19-1771 Page: 2 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

Appellant's legal counsel is not seeking reimbursement for photocopying and other miscellaneous expenses incurred in this action. The total amount of fees requested is \$944.69.

The prerequisites for an award of attorneys and expenses pursuant to 28 § 2412 (2009) have been met, and are as follows:

- Appellant is a prevailing party. Shalala v. Schaefer, 113 S.Ct.
 2625 (1993);
- The underlying action was a civil action. The term "civil action" includes proceedings seeking judicial review of administrative agency decisions. It did not sound in tort, there is no statute which prohibits or provides for such awards;
- 3) This Court has jurisdiction. 38 U.S.C. § 7252(a) (2009);
- 4) The position of the United States was not substantially justified as set forth in the Memorandum Opinion;
- No special circumstances exist to make the award unjust and this application is timely filed;
- Appellant has submitted a declaration of net worth when the appeal was filed. Appellant did not have a net worth in excess of \$2,000,000 at such time;
- 7) The "United States" includes any agency and any official thereof acting in his official capacity, including the Secretary of

Case: 19-1771 Page: 3 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

Veterans Affairs;

8) The "position of the United States" for the purposes of deciding substantial justification means both the position taken by the United States in the civil action, and the action or the failure to act, by the agency against whom the civil action is based, and position was not justified;

- No portion of time expended herein by appellant's legal counselhas unreasonably protracted the proceedings; and
- Appellant is qualified and eligible to receive attorney's fees and expenses pursuant to EAJA in this case. All of the provisions and prerequisites under the statute and governing case law have been met.

WHEREFORE, Appellant respectfully requests the Court award attorney's fees in the amount of \$944.69.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY L. RIGBY, Appellant

By: /s/ Jerusha L. Hancock
Jerusha L. Hancock, Esq.
BERRY LAW FIRM
6940 O Street, Suite 400
Lincoln, NE 68510
(402) 466-8444
(402) 466-1793 / Fax
jerusha@jsberrylaw.com
Attorney for Appellant

Case: 19-1771 Page: 4 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

BILLING RECORDS & REPORT [Attorney Time – Hours]

Re: JEFFREY L. RIGBY, Appellant

USCAVC Case No. 19-1771

Preface:

On May 12, 2020, this Court issued a Memorandum Decision to remand the Board's November 15, 2018, decision that denied an evaluation higher than 50 percent for major depressive disorder. The Court found that the Board erred when it failed to assess the frequency, severity, and duration of his symptoms.

DATE SERVICES PERFORMED		HRS
DAIL	(By Jerusha Hancock, unless otherwise indicated.)	
11/19/18	Review Board decision, check docket, and review file	0.4
	docs for determination whether to appeal.	0.4
3/18/19	Notice of Docketing for BVA's decision w/in 30 days; RBA	0.1
3/10/19	w/in 60 days.	
4/17/19	Board Decision transmittal; copy of Board decision.	0.1
5/2/19	Appearance of Amanda M. Haddock, for OGC.	0.1
5/17/19	RBA Notice. Calendar review.	0.1
6/6/19	Notice to file appellant's brief.	0.1
6/17/19	Conference notice, calendar review.	0.1
7/1/19	Review RBA, draft SOI.	1.3
7/16/19	Pre-briefing conference.	0.2
9/26/19	Draft brief.	1.1
11/26/19	Appellee's brief filed.	0.1
12/9/19	Reviewed for reply brief.	0.1
12/12/19	ROP filed.	0.1
1/6/2020	Assigned case to judge.	0.1
5/12/2020	Memorandum decision issued.	0.1
6/3/2020	Judgment issued.	0.1
6/11/2020	Prepared EAJA application.	0.6

Total Hours: 4.8 hours

Issues / Claims Being Remanded:

On May 12, 2020, this Court issued a Memorandum Decision to remand the Board's November 15, 2018, decision that denied an evaluation higher than

Case: 19-1771 Page: 5 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

50 percent for major depressive disorder. The Court found that the Board erred when it failed to assess the frequency, severity, and duration of his symptoms.

Billing General Case Management:

In this appeal, a portion of the hours spent in prosecuting the appeal are "general case management" hours. That is, time spent on tasks inherent to prosecuting an appeal regardless of the number of claims remanded compared to the number of claims appealed. These hours include review of records, preparation of documents and pleadings, *inter alia*. Although not directly related to a claim which was remanded, such time is billable time. *See, Elcyzyn v. Brown*, 7 Vet. App. 170 (1994) (The time spent for general case management is inextricably linked to the preparation of an entire case. There is no basis or fair mechanism for equitably apportioning the time spent for general case management into billable and non-billable hours.)

<u>Time Spent on the Issue Remanded:</u>

The time spent in prosecuting this appeal was related to the issues remanded.

The Billing Formula Employed Herein:

A. The Hourly Rate:

The law provides effective for appeals filed after March 29, 1996, the hourly rate for EAJA purposes is \$125. This base amount is adjusted annually to reflect cost of living changes relative to 1996. The statutory formula provides the base hourly rate (\$125) is multiplied by the Consumer Price Index (CPI) for the appropriate mid-point date. The sum is then divided by \$151.70, which is

Case: 19-1771 Page: 6 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

the Midwest CPI for March 1996.

B. The Mid-Point Date:

The mid-point date is one of three dates:

- (1). The date the appeal was filed;
- (2). The date the summary of issues was filed, or;
- (3). The date the brief was filed.

See Elcyzyn v. Brown, 7 Vet. App. 170 (1994) (The mid-point date should be the date of the appellant's principle brief, motion, or petition filed with the Court, which provides, "the capstone of the litigation process."); Apodackis v. Nicholson, 19 Vet. App. 91 (2005) (The midpoint of the litigation is the middle of the month where a majority of the work was performed.).

In this case, the appropriate mid-point date is in September 2019 – the date the appellant's brief was filed.

C. Consumer Price Index (CPI):

This Court has held the cost of living adjustment is determined by reference to the *CPI-AII Index* in the region where the work is performed. *Elcyzn v. Brown*, 7 Vet. App. 170 (1994). In this appeal, the work was performed in Nebraska. Accordingly, the midwest urban region – in which Nebraska is grouped – is the appropriate region of the *CPI-AII Index*.

The CPI Rate for the mid-point is 238.847. See, Bureau of Labor Statistics, CPI Tables for the midwest urban. See, Elcyzyn v. Brown, the rate is for the All-

Case: 19-1771 Page: 7 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

Items Index. See, https://www.bls.gov/regions/midwest/data/xgtables/ro5xg01.htm

D. <u>Hourly Rate For This Appeal:</u>

The statutory formula results in the following calculations.

CONCLUSION

Applying the statutory rate of \$196.81 to the total time expended as detailed above results in \$944.69 – the amount sought herein.

Respectfully submitted,

JEFFREY L. RIGBY, Appellant

By: /s/ Jerusha L. Hancock
Jerusha L. Hancock, Esq.
BERRY LAW FIRM
6940 O Street, Suite 400
Lincoln, NE 68510
(402) 466-8444
(402) 466-1793 / Fax
jerusha@jsberrylaw.com
Attorney for Appellant

Case: 19-1771 Page: 8 of 8 Filed: 08/04/2020

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify, to the best of my knowledge and ability, under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States, that copy of the foregoing was electronically served with the following:

Amanda M. Haddock, Esq. Office of the General Counsel Department of Veterans Affairs 810 Vermont Ave., NW Washington DC 20420

On July 28, 2020.

/s/ Jerusha L. Hancock Jerusha L. Hancock, Esq.